ARTICLE

Open Access

Sex and dependence related neuroanatomical differences in regular cannabis users: findings from the ENIGMA Addiction Working Group

Maria Gloria Rossetti ^{1,2}, Scott Mackey³, Praveetha Patalay⁴, Nicholas B. Allen⁵, Albert Batalla⁶, Marcella Bellani¹, Yann Chye⁷, Patricia Conrod⁸, Janna Cousijn⁹, Hugh Garavan³, Anna E. Goudriaan¹⁰, Robert Hester ¹¹, Rocio Martin-Santos ¹², Nadia Solowij ¹³, Chao Suo ⁷, Paul M. Thompson¹⁴, Murat Yücel ⁷, Paolo Brambilla ^{2,15} and Valentina Lorenzetti ¹⁶

Abstract

Males and females show different patterns of cannabis use and related psychosocial outcomes. However, the neuroanatomical substrates underlying such differences are poorly understood. The aim of this study was to map sex differences in the neurobiology (as indexed by brain volumes) of dependent and recreational cannabis use. We compared the volume of a priori regions of interest (i.e., amygdala, hippocampus, nucleus accumbens, insula, orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), anterior cingulate cortex and cerebellum) between 129 regular cannabis users (of whom 70 were recreational users and 59 cannabis dependent) and 114 controls recruited from the ENIGMA Addiction Working Group, accounting for intracranial volume, age, IQ, and alcohol and tobacco use. Dependent cannabis users, particularly females, had (marginally significant) smaller volumes of the lateral OFC and cerebellar white matter than recreational users and controls. In dependent (but not recreational) cannabis users, there was a significant association between female sex and smaller volumes of the cerebellar white matter and OFC. Volume of the OFC was also predicted by monthly standard drinks. No significant effects emerged the other brain regions of interest. Our findings warrant future multimodal studies that examine if sex and cannabis dependence are specific key drivers of neurobiological alterations in cannabis users. This, in turn, could help to identify neural pathways specifically involved in vulnerable cannabis users (e.g., females with cannabis dependence) and inform individually tailored neurobiological targets for treatment.

Introduction

Cannabis is the most widely used illicit substance on the planet and is the first drug of concern in treatment services nearly worldwide¹. Sex differences are apparent in many aspects of cannabis use and dependence. For instance, males represent the majority of cannabis users^{1,2} and are more likely to become dependent² but females

¹Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, Section of Psychiatry, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

²Department of Neurosciences and Mental Health, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy progress more rapidly from recreational use to dependence and relapse more often^{2–4}. Such differences have been partially attributed to sex-dependent underlying neurobiology^{5,6}. For instance, the distribution and affinity of cannabinoid type 1 receptors (CB1Rs), which bind psychoactive compounds of cannabis (e.g., tetrahydrocannabinol (THC)), are affected by sex hormones and vary between males and females^{5,6}. Thus, there may be sex differences in the neurobiological correlates of cannabis use.

Structural neuroimaging evidence in cannabis users shows mixed evidence for altered brain volumes in areas relevant to addiction-related cognitive processes (e.g., stress,

© The Author(s) 2021

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Correspondence: Valentina Lorenzetti (valentina.lorenzetti@gmail.com)

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

learning, disinhibition)⁷ and that are high in CB1Rs⁸ (e.g., amygdala, hippocampus, prefrontal cortex (PFC), including the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), cerebellum and striatum^{9–11}). A recent mega-analysis reported no significant volume differences between cannabis users and controls in these regions¹². However, the literature to date^{9,12} has failed to account for putative moderators of volume alterations in cannabis users such as cannabis dependence status, which neuroscientific theories of addiction ascribe to profound neuroadaptations¹³, and confounders associated with cannabis use including tobacco and alcohol exposure.

The role of sex differences in volume alterations in cannabis users has also been under-investigated¹⁴. Emerging cannabis-by-sex effects were shown in the amygdala (i.e., female users > female controls)¹⁵, the PFC (i.e., female users > female controls and male users < male controls)¹⁶ and the OFC (i.e., female dependent users < female controls)¹⁷ but these were not replicated¹⁸ and were not found in other brain regions (e.g., cerebellum¹⁹, striatum²⁰ parietal cortex¹⁸). Therefore, the differential effect of cannabis on the neuroanatomy of males and females remains elusive. Most published studies to date (i.e., 19 out of 30) have a male sampling bias, did not examine group-by-sex interactions²¹ or failed to account for drivers of neuroanatomical alterations (e.g., cannabis dependence, alcohol and tobacco use^{11,12,22,23}).

Here we aimed to address these limitations by investigating brain volume differences associated with recreational and dependent cannabis use and their interaction with sex. We compared brain volumes in 129 regular cannabis users (of whom 59 were cannabis dependent) and 114 controls recruited from the ENIGMA Addiction Working Group while accounting for exposure to substances other than cannabis (i.e., alcohol and tobacco). We focused on a priori regions of interest (ROIs) that have been examined by at least three studies^{9,24} and showed volumetric differences (although not unanimously) between cannabis users and controls i.e., amygdala, hippocampus, nucleus accumbens (NAcc), insula, OFC, ACC and the cerebellum $^{20,24-28}$. Also, the ROIs were selected for their high in CB1cannabinoid receptors based on autographic evidence CBR1s^{8,29} and for their key role in prominent neuroscientific theories of addiction^{30,31}.

Based on previous structural MRI studies, we expected that (i) cannabis users (particularly dependent users) would show smaller volumes in some ROIs (i.e., amyg-dala, hippocampus, insula, OFC, ACC, cerebellar white matter)^{24–26,32} and larger volumes in other ROIs (i.e., NAcc, cerebellar grey matter)^{20,27,28} and (ii) there would be group-by-sex interactions within the OFC and the amygdala^{15,17}.We also explored whether sex differences would emerge in other a-priori ROIs where these effects have not been examined (or found) so far^{18–20}. Last, we

explored separately in recreational and dependent users, if sex and substance use parameters (i.e., cannabis dosage, age of cannabis use onset, monthly standard drinks and monthly cigarettes) predicted brain volume of those ROIs that demonstrated significant group-bysex interactions, after accounting for intracranial volume (ICV), age and IQ.

Materials and methods

This study was pre-registered on the Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/spq2w).

MRI and behavioural data were obtained from seven research sites in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All sites had obtained written informed consent from all participants. After primary data cleaning, three sites were excluded as they were missing information for monthly standard drinks and monthly cigarettes. Inclusion and exclusion criteria and key imaging, clinical and substance use assessment measures for the remaining four sites^{27,33-35} are shown in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2. Briefly, participants were excluded if they had psychiatric comorbidities; lifetime substance use (other than cannabis) greater than 5-to-100 times; MR contraindications or current use of psychotropic medications. We further excluded cannabis users who had abstained from cannabis for longer than 30 days (n = 15), and participants with significant MR image artefacts that undermined the validity of brain measures (n = 5) and missing IQ (n = 3), monthly standard drinks (n = 21) or monthly cigarettes (n = 3) data that were required as covariates for the analyses. The final sample included 243 participants, of whom 129 were regular cannabis users as defined at each site (38 females, mean age 27.54 ± 10.12), and 114 participants were non-cannabis using controls (33 females, mean age 26.19 ± 9.10).

Measures

Participants' demographic and substance use characteristics were assessed using semi-structured interviews at each site. These interviews assessed age, sex, IQ, monthly standard drinks, monthly cigarettes and cannabis use parameters (i.e., dosage, age at onset of use and dependence status). We standardised quantities across individuals by converting cannabis dosage (reported by participants in many forms shown in Supplementary Table S1) into standardised monthly 'cones' (defined here https://cannabissupport.com. au/media/1593/timeline-followback.pdf). Distributions of monthly standard drinks, cigarettes and cones were positively skewed, so were squared-root transformed prior to statistical analyses. Cannabis dependence status was available from three of the four sites and was used to segregate a three-site subsample (n = 206) into 59 dependent users (17 were females) with a mean age of 25 years, 49 recreational users (of which 20 were females) with a mean age of 27

years, and 98 non-cannabis using controls (including 33 females), with a mean age of 25 years. Cannabis dependence was determined using validated instruments with diagnostic cut-offs (i.e., > 3 for Mini Neuropsychiatry International Interview (MINI)³⁶ and > 4 for the Severity of Dependence Scale (SDS)³⁷).

Structural MRI data acquisition and processing

Each site acquired structural T1-weighted MRI brain data which were prepared for analysis using FreeSurferv.5.3.0 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/), a fully automated MRI processing pipeline that identifies seven bilateral subcortical and 34 bilateral cortical ROIs^{38,39}. Briefly, after automated Talairach transformation and removal of nonbrain tissue and skull⁴⁰ the T1-weighted images were used to segment brain tissues and to estimate the grey matter-white matter interface, which was used as the starting point for the 3D reconstruction of the cortical surfaces. Then, each subject's cortical model was parcelled into ROIs according to the Desikan-Killiany atlas³⁹ and surface-based cortical volumes were estimated at the ROI level for all participants. Following all automated processing and parcellation procedures, FreeSurfer was again utilized to extract absolute segmented volumes of subcortical regions. All FreeSurfer output underwent quality control at each site, according to ENIGMA standardized protocols (http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/protocols/imaging-protocols/),

which included outlier detection and visual inspection of all data. Analyses were performed on a total of 10 bilateral ROIs i.e., hippocampus, amygdala, NAcc, insula, medial OFC, lateral OFC, rostral ACC, caudal ACC, cerebellum grey matter, and cerebellum white matter. Left and right hemispheres were considered separately for each ROI.

Statistical analyses

Chi-squared tests assessed differences in sex distributions between groups (i.e., recreational cannabis users, dependent cannabis users, controls).

A series of mixed-effect models were run to examine group, sex and group-by-sex differences for demographic and substance use characteristics, and brain volumes. This technique statistically accommodates dependency between observations in a nested design (i.e., participants within sites)⁴¹. *Site* was treated as a random effect to account for the systematic site-level variation in the dependent variables expected to occur from differences in scanners, protocols and assessment tools.

ROI volumes in cannabis users and controls of the full sample (n = 243; 4 sites)

In the full sample, we examined the impact of factors including group (controls, cannabis users [encapsulating both recreational and dependent users]), sex (male, female) and group-by-sex, on ROI volumes as dependent variables, controlling for ICV, age, IQ, monthly standard drinks, and monthly cigarettes. Group-by-sex interaction effects with a nominal significance level of p(uncorrected) < 0.05 were interrogated using pairwise comparisons.

ROI volumes in dependent cannabis users, recreational users and controls of the subsample with data on cannabis dependence status (n = 206; 3 sites)

We replicated the analysis above in the three-site subsample where cannabis dependence status was available, using group (controls, dependent cannabis users, recreational cannabis users), sex (male, female) and group-bysex as factors, ROI volumes as dependent variables, and ICV, age, IQ, monthly standard drinks and monthly cigarettes as confounding variables. We also controlled for monthly cannabis dosage (i.e., "cones") as these were significantly higher in dependent cannabis users than recreational users. Group-by-sex interaction effects with a nominal significance level of p(uncorrected) < 0.05 were interrogated using pairwise comparisons.

Exploratory associations between ROI volumes and substance use levels in dependent and recreational cannabis users from the three-site subsample

Exploratory analyses were run separately in dependent (n = 59) and recreational cannabis users (n = 49) of the three-site subsample where information on cannabis dependence status was available and for ROIs that were significantly affected by group-by-sex interactions. Specifically, we examined if ROIs volume was predicted by sex and substance use levels (i.e., age at onset of cannabis use, monthly cannabis cones, monthly standard drinks and monthly cigarettes) controlling for age, IQ and ICV.

All volumetric results were corrected for multiple comparisons using a False Discovery Rate (FDR) corrected statistical threshold of $p(FDR) < 0.05^{42}$. Effect sizes were estimated for the significant p(uncorrected) < 0.05 group and group-by-sex effects using Cohen's *d* and based on the marginal means predicted by the model. All analyses were run with STATA 14 (StataCorp; 2015).

Results

Samples characteristics

Table 1 shows demographic and substance use characteristics and brain volumes of the original sample (4 sites). Cannabis users (n = 129) versus controls (n =114) did not differ in sex distribution, age, IQ or monthly standard drinks, but smoked more monthly cigarettes. These variables were matched between recreational cannabis users (n = 49), dependent cannabis users (n = 59) and controls (n = 98) of the subsample with information on cannabis dependence status (three sites). However, dependent cannabis users compared to recreational users

η, SD	
eal	
) from the full	
rols (<i>n</i> = 129	
114) and cont	Sex
imes of cannabis users (n = 114) and controls (n = 129) from the full sample (M	
in volumes of ca	Group
ce use characteristics and brain volum	B
1 Demographic, substant	H
9	

					CB				Group			Sex						
<	Males (<i>n</i> = 81)	81)	Females (<i>n</i>	1 = 33)	Males (n =	= 91)	Females (<i>n</i> = 38)	(n = 38)	(CB vs HC)	(:		(Males v.	(Males vs Females)		Group-by-Sex	ıy-Sex		
. <	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	β	95% CI	р	β	95% CI	р	β	95% CI	р	Var
Sample characteristics																		
Age, yrs 2	26.47	9.16	25.50	90.6	26.83	66.6	29.24	10.37	2.05	-1.49, 5.60	0.256	1.49	-1.79, 4.77	0.373	-2.13	-6.32, 2.06	0.319	0.44
Q	109.31	12.00	106.21	11.70	101.43	12.43	101.84	10.41	-4.81	-10.12, 0.51	0.076	4.31	-0.56, 9.19	0.083	-3.14	-9.43, 3.16	0.329	0.10
Alcohol, StDr/mo 2	20.91	22.92	17.60	23.10	32.69	36.57	18.74	19.27	0.24	-12.72, 13.19	0.971	7.23	-4.53, 18.99	0.228	11.03	-4.32, 26.38	0.159	0.04
Tobacco, Cig/mo 2	25.95	95.83	51.09	1 10.03	262.10	243.89	265.57	238.28	209.08	122.72, 295.45	<0.001 ***	-23.08	-101.65, 55.48	0.565	26.92	-75.37, 129.22	0.606	0.50
Cannabis																		
Onset of – – – use, yrs		T	I	15.58	3.00	15.06	2.20	I.	I	I	1.30		-0.56, 3.16	0.170	I.	1	I.	0.16
Dosage, cones/mo –		I	I	I	349.22	354.86	263.84	221.74	I	I	I	-5.04	0.918	0.918	I	I	I	0.11
Dependence, N –		I	I	I	42	17	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
	1.62	0.16	1.40	0.17	1.58	0.14	1.41	0.18	- 0.02	-0.08, 0.04	0.564	0.200	0.14, 0.26	< 0.001***	-0.04	-0.11, 0.04	0.355	0.24
() s		00.000	U * 0 F U *	10			0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		0 7 7	1001	0110		10 r 00 r	0000	,	10.007	0000	C C
Amyguaia L I D 1	10.00/1	U2.262	1664 50	10.122	1764 50	240.04 77.17	01.0/01	2/.442	21.12-	01161 6013	0/C/D	74.14 161 20	07.001 ,10.0 74 AF 740 10	00.00	0.10	07.001 ,1U.C	202.0	1.00
		515.90	4271.71	358.52	4348.256	455.43	4139.88	500.01	-15.61 –	-230.64, 199.43	0.887	20.101	-123.68. 267.67	0.471	32.44	- 149:35, 220.03	0.789	0.03
œ		470.76	4377.24	383.79	4438.95	443.65	4286.33	405.05	57.44	-136.39, 251.27	0.561	146.10	-31.76, 323.95	0.107	- 78.05	-292.33, 136.23	0.475	0.05
	664.90	193.93	601.42	195.24	629.18	186.42	544.63	201.53	18.56	-35.08, 72.20	0.498	34.07	-16.02, 84.18	0.182	-6.198	-65.45, 53.06	0.838	0.63
R	685.99	164.37	621.58	186.611	644.23	167.47	573.18	169.31	23.73	-21.18, 68.65	0.300	30.76	-11.19, 72.71	0.151	- 19.84	-69.44, 29.77	0.433	0.68
Insula L 7	7215.20	855.05	6584.58	917.97	6890.40	700.23	6448.53	876.73	-93.13	-387.16, 200.90	0.535	138.92	-134.41, 412.25	0.319	- 14.21	-339.08, 310.66	0.932	0.19
R 7	7273.36	808.25	6731.00	611.93	7071.40	818.54	6470.47	772.18	-214.32	-515.91, 87.27	0.164	-39.69	-318.31, 238.93	0.780	238.43	-94.89, 571.74	0.161	0.0
OFC																		
lateral L 8	8343.56	946.82	7793.00	748.39	8176.92	982.49	7523.92	713.03	-90.77	-447.65, 266.11	0.618	199.90	-132.48, 532.27	0.239	169.87	-224.40, 564.15	0.398	0.27
8	8078.38	971.27	7375.91	1141.61	7744.87	1052.74	7039.63	828.42	-404.94	-773.76, -36.11	0.031* ^a	70.76	-272.63, 414.14	0.686	204.72	-202.76, 612.21	0.325	0.25
	5410.72	688.31	4855.97	504.75	5315.19	705.37	4736.89	666.16	-52.19	-315.19, 210.82	0.697	11.52	-232.58, 255.62	0.236	175.89	-114.72, 466.51	0.236	0.15
œ	5678.17	677.78	5386.58	544.92	5466.51	725.39	5041.79	450.31	-269.28	—536.11, 2.46	0.048* ^b	56.47	—191.89, 304.83	0.656	176.20	—118.60, 470.99	0.241	0.23
		612.19	2881.24	560.99	2862.80	614.80	2783.53	401.59	-96.06	—339.20, 147.07	0.439	5.38	—220.66, 231.43	0.963	-45.47	—314.107, 223.17	0.740	0.19
æ		439.26	2267.18	497.40	2228.21	497.27	2143.76	340.83	-82.13	-293.81, -129.56	0.447	33.76		0.736	- 15.31	—249.24, 218.62	0.898	0.10
		490.51	2066.30	435.04	1983.84	545.03	1875.63	441.37	-171.99	-402.32, 58.35	0.143	-252.14		0.014*	152.34	-102.72, 407.40	0.242	0.00
8	2400.81	560.96	2268.97	681.49	2282.64	553.75	2229.16	439.47	-12.56	—272.12, 247.01	0.094	169.05	—171.72, 409.83	0.169	-48.32	—335.13, 2838.51	0.741	0.14
ellum																		
	56930.18	7414.39	52211.16	7261.82	57421.36	6548.82	52735.63	6271.57	153.45	-2244.21, 2551.11	0.900	3311.98	1075.90, 5548.07	0.004**	1411.51	-1237.25, 4060.28	0.296	0.37
Υ		/ 989.12	53564.62	8008.75	58227.42	/211.22	54157.91	82.26.83	-84.54	-2692.11, 2523.04	0.949	300/.19	5/4.50, 5439.89	0.015*	1323.84	-1556./8, 4204.46	0.368	0.41
			14864.99	2227.40	15124.64	2336.08	14267.18	1797.71	-577.66	-1458.06, 302.73	0.198	-297.85	—1119.06, 523.36	0.447	816.76	-155.83, 1789.36	0.100	0.39
R 1	15831.57	3332.71	15093.30	1975.81	15612.82	2817.39	14374.62	1773.20	-584.08	-1575.38, 407.21	0.248	-240.14	-1165.07, 684.79	0.611	1119.24	24.15, 2214.33	0.045*	0.43

were, on average, older and smoked more cannabis cones per month (Supplementary Table S3).

Volumetric findings

Regular cannabis users versus controls from the full sample (4 sites)

In the full sample, regular cannabis users (including both dependent and recreational users) compared to controls had smaller volumes in both the right medial OFC ($\beta = -269.28$, p(uncorrected) = 0.048) and in the right lateral OFC ($\beta = -404.94$, p(uncorrected) = 0.031). Also, a group-by-sex interaction was observed in the right cerebellar white matter ($\beta = 1119.24$, p(uncorrected) = 0.045). Post-hoc pairwise comparisons showed that female cannabis users had, on average, smaller volumes than male cannabis users. These group and group-by-sex interaction effects had a small effect size and did not survive FDR correction (Table 1).

Dependent cannabis users versus recreational users and controls from the three-site subsample

Volumetric findings from the three-site subsample are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 1. Dependent cannabis users had smaller volumes of the right cerebellar white matter and right lateral OFC compared to both recreational users ($\beta = -1269.72$, *p*(*uncorrected*) = 0.42 and $\beta = -564.93$, *p*(*uncorrected*) = 0.025) and controls ($\beta = -1564.76$, *p*(*uncorrected*) = 0.24 and $\beta = -702.11$, *p*(*uncorrected*) = 0.012).

There was also a significant group-by-sex effect on the volumes of the cerebellar white matter (left: $\beta = 1507.86$, p(uncorrected) = 0.12; right: $\beta = 1786.54$, p(uncorrected) = 0.006) and the right lateral OFC ($\beta = 575.33$, p(uncorrected) = 0.027). Particularly, pairwise analyses demonstrated (i) smaller left cerebellar white matter in female dependent cannabis users compared to male recreational users and male dependent users and (ii) smaller right cerebellar white matter and right lateral OFC volumes in female dependent cannabis users relative to recreational users and controls of both sexes.

Association between cannabis, alcohol and tobacco use levels and ROI volumes separately in cannabis users with and without dependence from the three-site subsample

As shown in Table 3, smaller cerebellar white matter volumes in dependent cannabis users were significantly predicted by female sex (i.e., male = 1; female = 0) in both left (β = 1128.06, p(FDR) = 0.023) and right (β = 1352.56, p(FDR) = 0.011) hemisphere while smaller right lateral OFC volumes were significantly predicted by both female sex (β = 505.68, p(FDR) = 0.028) and more monthly standard drinks (β = -111.54, p(FDR) = 0.003). In recreational cannabis users, more monthly standard drinks predicted smaller right cerebellar white matter

volumes ($\beta = -254.22$, *p*(*uncorrected*)= 0.033), but this effect did not survive FDR correction. No other predictor was significantly associated with cerebellum white matter and OFC volumes.

Discussion

Summary of the results

The results of this multi-site MRI study partially confirmed our hypotheses. Specifically, group and group-bysex effects emerged in the lateral OFC and the cerebellar white matter of cannabis users versus controls. These effects had small-to-moderate effect sizes and did not survive FDR correction. Yet, cannabis users versus controls did not show volumetric differences in the amygdala, hippocampus, insula, ACC, NAcc and cerebellar grey matter. Last, in recreational and dependent cannabis users, we found that lateral OFC and cerebellar white matter volumes were predicted by sex and alcohol dosage, but not cannabis use measures.

Sex and cannabis dependence related differences between regular cannabis users and controls

We showed that in cannabis users, being female and dependent on cannabis was associated with smaller right lateral OFC and cerebellar white matter volumes.

Our report of smaller cerebellar white matter and OFC volumes in cannabis users versus controls is consistent with previous reports^{9,10} and with neuroscientific theories of addiction that implicate these regions in dependent, habitual substance use and related increased salience to substance-related stimuli, disinhibition, stress and craving^{13,43,44}.

However, to date it remains to be clarified whether such alterations are the results of neuroadaptations associated with the development of addiction⁴⁵ or due to neuro-toxicity related to chronic exposure to cannabis⁴⁶.

A key novel finding is that there was a significant interaction between female sex and cannabis dependence on cerebellar white matter and lateral OFC volumes suggesting that sex may moderate brain volume differences associated with cannabis dependence. To our knowledge, we are the first to report a group-by-sex effect in the cerebellar white matter of people with cannabis dependence. Our findings are in line with those from previous studies where sex differences were not examined^{22,26} and corroborate recent models of addiction that have reconsidered the cerebellum as a key region that play a modulating role between motor, reward, motivation and cognitive control systems via its functional connections with the corticostriatal-limbuic circuitry^{31,43}.

Interestingly, we found that, within the group of dependent users, being female predicted volume reductions of those regions (i.e., lateral OFC, cerebellar white matter), over and above the effect of cannabis dosage, age of cannabis use onset and monthly standard drinks and

International (b) Internatind) International (b) <	(dynamic 13 with constant 13)	025. ce	(dependent CB vs recreational CB) (dependent $(B vs recreational CB)$ (dependent $B vs recreational CB)$ (dependent $B vs recreational CB)$ (dependent $V s recreational CB)$ volumes (mm^3) volumes (mm^3) $V s recreation (V recreation (V recreation (V recreation (V s recrecreation (V s recreation (V s recreation (V s recreation (V s r$	volumes (mm ³) nygdala L ppocampus L kcc L kula L kula R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	3 vs recreational 3 ?% CI) // <	P CB)	(dependent	CB vs HC)											אונ
β (95% CI) p (95% CI) p (95% CI) p (95% CI) p (95% CI) (95%	β (95% Cl) p β (95% Cl) p β (91% Cl) p β (91% Cl) p β (10% Cl) p β (10% Cl) p <	025, ce	000 252 ce	A A volumes (mm ³) 16.37 nygdala L 16.37 nygdala L 16.37 cc L 25.05 ppocampus L 453.77 cc L -74.85 cc L -74.85 ula L -29.49 ula L -20.49 a/ L -29.13 a/ L -264.93	148.70 100.66 328.91 120.22 0.04 9.47 107.96 197.39					(recreation	al CB vs HC)		(Males vs	Ermales)		Group-by-Se	X		
volumes (nm²) volumes	volumes (mm ³) hygdala L 16.37 -115.85, 148.70 0.808 -197.83 - hygdala L 16.37 -15.076, 100.66 0.696 13.76 - pocampus L 453.77 -221.38, 328.91 0.702 -31.70 - R -133.11 -386.45, 120.22 0.303 -63.800 - KC L -74.85 -149.74 0.04 0.051 -41.69 - 41.69 -18.77 - kla L -290.49 -688.94, 107.96 0.153 -169.37 - 113.33 9.47 0.097 -18.77 - 16.1 - 290.49 -688.94, 107.96 0.153 -169.37 - 16.1 L -290.49 -688.94, 107.96 0.153 -169.37 - 16.1 L -201.33 -549.49, 195.39 0.317 -313.38 - 26.63, 195.39 0.317 -313.38 - 201.77 - 12.23.80 -543.58 0.025.4° -702.11 - 16.1 L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - 278.42 - 26.15.47 -56.145, 130.51 0.222 -278.42 - 278.42 - 278.43 -1058.51, -71.35 0.025.9° -702.11 - 26.1 L -233.80 -543.54, 130.51 0.222 -278.42 - 26.1 L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - 201.07 L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - 26.1 L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - 26.1 L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - 26.1 L -21.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - 26.1 L -21.33 -549.49, 245.837 0.651 -2.26 -21.11.22 -2285.33, 2.70 0.051 -11.93.07 - 21.11.12.2 -2285.33, 2.70 0.051 -11.93.07 - 21.11.13.2 -2285.33, 2.70 0.0551 -2.647.6 - 21.11.13.2 -2285.33, 2.70 0.0551 -2.164.76 - 21.11.13.2 -2285.33, 2.70 0.0551 -2.164.76 - 21.11.13.2 -2285.33, 2.70 0.0551 -2.164.76 - 21.11.13.2 -2285.33, 2.70 0.0551 -11.93.07 - 21.11.20.7 - 21.11.21.2 -2285.33, 2.70 0.0551 -11.93.07 - 21.11.20.72 -21.454.94, -43.93 0.042.46 -1564.76 - 21.2552.2 -2495.49, -43.93 0.042.46 -11.01.20.201. -1.11.13.2 -2285.33, 2.70 0.0051 -11.93.07 - 21.11.20.22 -21.454.76 - 21.255.233, 2.70 0.0051 -11.93.07 - 21.256.72 -21.454.94, -43.93 0.042.46 -266.76 -206561 -20666 - 20.2556666666666666666666666666666666666	52°, ce · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	000 05 ce	volumes (mm ³) hygdala L 16.37 ppocampus L 453.77 R -133.11 vcc L -74.85 vd L -290.49 ula L -200.49 a/ L -429.13 a/ R -564.93				(95% CI)	d		(95% CI)	d	β	95% CI	d	β (95% CI)	(95% CI)	d	Var
I I	wyddala L 1637 -115.85, 148.70 0.808 -197.83 -19783 - ppocampus L -25.05 -150.76 100.66 0.696 13.76 - ppocampus L $+33.77$ -221.38 32891 0.702 -31.70 - ppocampus L -378.645 -149.74 0.04 0.051 -41.69 - ula L -290.49 -688.94 , 107.96 0.153 -169.37 - ula L -290.49 -688.94 , 107.96 0.057 -18.71 - ula L -290.49 -688.94 , 107.96 0.057 -18.71 - ula L -290.49 -688.94 , 107.96 0.0173 -169.37 - ula L -291.33 -900.90 , -42.62 0.075 -218.77 -313.38 ula L L $-291.40.94.84$ 0.257 -180.37 -169.37 ula <td>022 S5 Ce Ce</td> <td>000025, ce</td> <td>nygdala L 16.37 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •</td> <td></td>	022 S5 Ce	000025, ce	nygdala L 16.37 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •															
R -5.5.05 -15.05 0.06 3.76 -12.567, 13.20 0.847 3.82 -5.651, 16.3.4 0.51 17.280 83.40, 26.2.0 0.001 -5.837 -18.05 -19.05 -19.05 -13.05 -35.65, 17.320 0.854 -35.65, 17.340 0.037 -33.15 -19.01 -35.75, 27.340 0.037 -33.15 -19.05 -	R -2505 -150/6, 100.66 0.696 1376 - ppocampus L 453.77 -211.38 , 328.91 0.702 -31.70 -31.70 R -133.11 $-386.45, 120.22$ 0.303 -63.80 -31.70 kc L -7485 $-149.74, 0.04$ 0.071 -4169 -4169 kc L -7485 $-11333, 947$ 0.097 -1871 -963.947 kula L -290.49 $-688.94, 107.96$ 0.153 -169.37 -169.37 kula L -290.412 $-606.3, 195.39$ 0.317 -313.38 -313.38 kula L $-292.41.3$ $-900.90, -42.62$ 0.075 -201.77 -313.38 kula R -204.12 -606.3 , 195.39 0.317 -313.38 kula L L -229.49 -1058.51 -1253.2022 -201.77 kula L L $-233.80.5198$ 0.3257 -1263.32	25, cce	000 028, ce	R -25.05 opocampus L 453.77 R -133.11 - Acc L -74.85 Acal L -74.85 Acal L -74.85 Acal L -29.049 Acal L -29.412 Adv L -29.13 Adv L -264.12		0.808		-244.61, 48.95	0.191	-114.20	-245.18, 16.77	0.087	99.66	4.72, 194.60	0.040*		-174.89, 98.16	0.582	0.58
opcompute 1 43.17 -22138 23.2891 0.702 -317.4 73.54 0.89 -66.0.1 53.7 -13.57.23.30 0.578 -11.32 -235.88 273.56 R -13.31 -36.66,1 0.33 -34.66,0 0.35 -34.66,0 0.33 -10.37 -0.519,1 -0.513,1 -0.519,1 -0.519,1 -0.519,1 -0.519,1 -0.519,1 -0.519,1 -0.519,1 -0.519,1 -0.519,1 -0.519,1 -0.519,1 -0.519,1 -0.519,1 -0.519	ppocampus 453.77 -221.38 , 32891 0.702 -31.70 -31.70 ccc L -7485 -149.74 , 0.04 0.051 -41.69 -41.69 ula L -290.49 -688.94 , 107.96 0.153 -169.37 -18.71 ula L -290.49 -688.94 , 107.96 0.153 -169.37 -169.37 ad L -290.412 -606.3 , 195.39 0.317 -313.38 -380.97 ad L -290.412 -606.3 , 195.39 0.317 -313.38 -201.77 ad L -229.13 $-900.90, -42.62$ 0.075 -201.77 -216.34 ad L -229.49 $-1058.51, -71.35$ $0.027.44$ -216.34 -702.11 ad L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -182.06 -2336.35 ad L -203.86 $-586.30, 0.91$ 0.072 -278.42 -166.37 ad L -233.80 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -126.32 -278.42 -278.42 <td>02.85, ce 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td> <td>00522 ce</td> <td>ppocampus L 453.77 ccc L -74.85 cc L -74.85 cula L -29.49 cla L -29.412 a/ L -429.13 a/ R -564.93</td> <td></td> <td>0.696</td> <td></td> <td>-125.67, 153.20</td> <td>0.847</td> <td>38.82</td> <td>-85.61, 163.24</td> <td>0.541</td> <td>172.80</td> <td>83.40, 262.20</td> <td><001***</td> <td>-58.37</td> <td>-188.06, 71.32</td> <td>0.378</td> <td>0.58</td>	02.85, ce 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	00522 ce	ppocampus L 453.77 ccc L -74.85 cc L -74.85 cula L -29.49 cla L -29.412 a/ L -429.13 a/ R -564.93		0.696		-125.67, 153.20	0.847	38.82	-85.61, 163.24	0.541	172.80	83.40, 262.20	<001***	-58.37	-188.06, 71.32	0.378	0.58
R -133.11 -386.45 120.2 0.30 -63.80 -34.56 21.75 63.81 20.15 -26.181 26.1161 26.281 26.1161	R -133.11 $-386.45, 120.22$ 0.033 -63.80 -63.80 kcc L -74.85 $-149.74, 0.04$ 0.051 -41.69 -41.69 kula L -290.49 $-688.94, 107.96$ 0.153 -169.37 -18.71 kula L -290.49 $-688.94, 107.96$ 0.153 -169.37 -18.71 kula L $-290.41.2$ $-606.33, 195.39$ 0.317 -313.38 -313.38 kul L -290.13 $-900.90, -42.62$ 0.075 -201.77 -313.38 kul L -229.13 $-900.90, -42.62$ 0.075 -201.77 -313.38 kul L $-229.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -182.06 $-371.23, 122.45$ -702.11 -1263.92 kul L -233.80 $-543.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -1223.42 -126.39 kul L -203.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -1223.63 -126.39 kul L -203.33 $-212.84.5, 130.51$ 0.222 -278.42 <	03 S5, Ce	0058, ce	 R = 133.11 xcc L = 74.85 xula L = 290.49 xula L = 204.12 a/ L = 429.13 r r<td>22 96 9</td><td>0.702</td><td></td><td>-337.34, 273.94</td><td>0.839</td><td>-85.47</td><td>-356.62, 185.69</td><td>0.537</td><td>53.82</td><td>-135.67, 243.30</td><td>0.578</td><td>-11.52</td><td>-295.88, 272.86</td><td>0.937</td><td>0.03</td>	22 96 9	0.702		-337.34, 273.94	0.839	-85.47	-356.62, 185.69	0.537	53.82	-135.67, 243.30	0.578	-11.52	-295.88, 272.86	0.937	0.03
(c) (c) (-1437, 0.04) (05) -4169, 1(37) (-233, 4-33) (-333, 4-31) (-333, 4	wcc L -7485 -14974 , 004 0051 -41.69 -41.69 wda L -290.49 -688.94 , 107.96 0.153 -169.37 -18.71 wda L -290.49 -688.94 , 107.96 0.153 -169.37 -169.37 ad L -290.49 -688.94 , 107.96 0.153 -169.37 -313.38 ad L -290.49 -688.94 , 107.85 0.017 -313.38 -313.38 ad L -429.13 $-900.90, -42.62$ 0.075 -201.77 -313.38 ad L -203.30 $-1058.51, -71.35$ $0.027.44$ -702.11 -702.11 iad L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -182.06 $-371.23, 122.45$ -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34 -126.34	02.85, Ce	0058, ce	 kcc L -74.85 -81-93 -13-90.49 -290.49 -204.12 -204.12 -264.93 -564.93 	96	0.303	-63.80	-345.06, 217.47	0.657	69.15	-180.80, 319.43	0.587	118.58	-58.27, 295.44	0.189	0.15	-261.81, 261.49	0.999	0.06
R -5193 -1133 9.47 0.007 -1871 -56.8, 4393 0.531 -27.58 -9.400 0.284 21.85, 65.65 0.338 -1.487 -7322 4847 ula L -2004 -668.94 0.056 -163.7 -161.45, 272.70 0.453 121.12 -2730, 1512.4 0.54 -102.29 -346.5, 1512.4 0.54 -102.29 -346.5, 1512.4 0.55 -346.5, 1512.4 0.54 -0028 -192.79 0.53 112.03 -284.6 0.531 -284.9 0.58 -284.5 0.64 -782.2 84.71 -285.6 0.53 112.03 -102.57 0.56 -234.9 0.55 -346.5, 153.3 0.55 -346.5, 153.3 0.55 -346.5, 153.3 0.55 -325.9 0.55 -732.19 0.56 -231.9 0.56 -231.9 0.56 -732.19 0.56 -732.19 0.56 -731.9 0.56 -731.9 0.56 -731.9 0.56 -731.9 0.56 -731.9 0.56 0.56 -731.2	ula $[1 - 200.49 - 688.94, 107.96 0.153 - 169.37$	ce c	0 5 5, ce	R -51.93 ula L -290.49 R -204.12 a/ L -429.13 R -564.93		0.051	-41.69	-124.76, 41.37	0.325	33.15	-40.97, 107.29	0.236	35.57	-17.88, 89.03	0.192	13.75	-63.51, 91.01	0.727	0.65
ula I -29049 -68844 (0)766 (013) -61645 72710 6333 17293 6333 17293 6333 17293 6333 17293 6333 5343 5343 5313 a ¹ -1013 -0063, 19539 0317 -3138 -75688, 13013 016 -10925 -3165, 21633 0557 -3436, 75103 0552 318.70 -9388, 73129 a ¹ L -2013 -90090, -4262 075 -2017 -72512, 32157 045 -3249 -3657, 1503 0552 318.70 -9388, 73129 a ¹ L -2013 -56443 0257 -18206 -56843, 20431 0356 926 -24736, 3637 0357 1242 223199, 46687 0557 318.70 -9334, 2468 03114, 2468 0537, 1245 0537 1246, 44511 0385 5733 6587, 10640 0314, 2468 0314, 2468 0314, 2468 0314, 2468 0314, 2468 0314, 2468 0314, 2468 0314, 2468 0314, 2468 03164 <td< td=""><td>ula L -290.49 -688.94, 107.96 0.153 -169.37 -313.38 -60.53, 195.39 0.317 -313.38 -60.53, 195.39 0.317 -313.38 -60.54, 195.39 0.317 -313.38 -60.54, 195.3 -900.90, -42.62 0.075 -201.77 -60.54, 192.05 -201.77 -60.54, 192.05 -102.11 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -126.39 -203.63 -201.64 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -126.39 -201.64 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -278.42 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -278.42 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -278.43 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -278.43 -201.24 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -278.43 -201.12 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -278.43 -201.12 -211.24 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -278.43 -201.12 -211.24 -201.12 -211.24 -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -228.43 -201.12 -211.24 -201.24 -201.12 -216.84 -215.49 -215.49 -228.53 -239.490, 245.837 0.051 -226.4 -201.41 -220.523 -228.533 -2.700 0.051 $-211.93.07$ -201.04 $-211.41.32$ -228.533 -2.700 0.051 $-211.93.07$ -221.04 $-211.05.02$ -2193.249 -2164.76 -210.50 -210.50 -210.50 -210.50 -210.50 -210.50 -210.50 -2055.49 -2055.549 -2055.53 -2285.33 -2070 -228.53 -2285.53 -2</td><td>0285, ce</td><td>0058 ce</td><td>ula L –290.49 . R –204.12 . a/ L –429.13 . R –564.93 .</td><td></td><td>0.097</td><td>-18.71</td><td>-86.83, 49.39</td><td>0.590</td><td>33.21</td><td>-27.58, -94.00</td><td>0.284</td><td>21.85</td><td>-21.95, 65.65</td><td>0.328</td><td>- 14.87</td><td>-78.22, 48.47</td><td>0.380</td><td>0.88</td></td<>	ula L -290.49 -688.94 , 107.96 0.153 -169.37 -313.38 -60.53 , 195.39 0.317 -313.38 -60.53 , 195.39 0.317 -313.38 -60.54 , 195.39 0.317 -313.38 -60.54 , 195.3 -900.90 , -42.62 0.075 -201.77 -60.54 , 192.05 -201.77 -60.54 , 192.05 -102.11 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -126.39 -203.63 -201.64 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -126.39 -201.64 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -278.42 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -278.42 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -278.43 -201.64 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -278.43 -201.24 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -278.43 -201.12 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -278.43 -201.12 -211.24 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -278.43 -201.12 -211.24 -201.12 -211.24 -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -228.43 -201.12 -211.24 -201.24 -201.12 -216.84 -215.49 -215.49 -228.53 -239.490 , 245.837 0.051 -226.4 -201.41 -220.523 -228.533 -2.700 0.051 $-211.93.07$ -201.04 $-211.41.32$ -228.533 -2.700 0.051 $-211.93.07$ -221.04 $-211.05.02$ -2193.249 -2164.76 -210.50 -210.50 -210.50 -210.50 -210.50 -210.50 -210.50 -2055.49 -2055.549 -2055.53 -2285.33 -2070 -228.53 -2285.53 -2	0285, ce	0058 ce	ula L –290.49 . R –204.12 . a/ L –429.13 . R –564.93 .		0.097	-18.71	-86.83, 49.39	0.590	33.21	-27.58, -94.00	0.284	21.85	-21.95, 65.65	0.328	- 14.87	-78.22, 48.47	0.380	0.88
R -204.12 -6063, 19539 0317 -13138 -75688, 13013 0166 -10925 -34165, 21833 0587 -64.29 -33362, 21503 0552 31870 -9388, 73129 a ¹ L -42913 -90030 -4262 0075 -20177 -72512, 32157 0450 2734 06172 -05139, 46623 -5458, 94901 a ¹ L -42913 -90030 -4261 3055 -20177 -72512, 32157 0450 -232491, 5304 031 24523 55096 0310 46221 -2458, 94901 a ¹ L -20137 -5643, 20431 0356 9256 -232491, 53043 031 2327 -23109, 4662 -43104 -73128, 434511 9687, 108478 a ¹ L -21347 -56145, 13051 0227 -21844 015 -6229, -10544 015 6221 -3319, 4662 -7319, 4662 -7319, 4662 -7319, 4662 -7319, 4662 -7319, 4662 -7319, 4662 -7319, 4662 -7319, 47666 -73219, 47663 -7312,	al -204.12 -6063 , 195.39 0.317 -313.38 -313.38 al L -429.13 -900.90 , -42.62 0075 -201.77 -201.77 al L -429.13 -900.90 , -42.62 0075 -201.77 -201.77 al L -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -218.42 -702.11 al L -201.33 -549.49 , 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -278.42 -218.42 -228.42	028, ce	005 Ce ce	R -204.12 . a/ L -429.13 . R -564.93 .		0.153	-169.37	-611.45, 272.70	0.453	121.12	-273.01, 515.24	0.547	90.08	-192.79, 372.96	0.533	172.93	-238.28, 584.13	0.410	0.21
al 1 -42913 -900.80 -426.2 -201.71 -725.12, 321.57 0450 -725.12, 321.57 0450 -725.12, 321.57 0450 773.94 -161.72, 509.60 0310 462.21 -245.80 94001 R -564.39 -1008551, -71.35 00075* -702.11 -1249.80, -135.41 0017* -137.17 -523.2, 350.87 0582 -321.61, 365.11 0385 575.33 6587, 1084.78 R -215.47 -561.45, 105.11 0.227 -512.49 0132 222.7 -321.61, 351.10 0385 575.33 6587, 1084.78 af L -201.33 -549.49 1039 -203.61 0356 -62.29 -105.44 0137 -221.03 588.7 0853 127.42 -231.94, 46.88 -172.46, 541.65 -172.46, 541.65 -172.46, 541.65 -300.14 0.15 -223.61, 50.76 0331 27.42 -231.94, 46.88 -172.46, 541.65 -172.46, 541.65 -172.46, 541.65 -172.46, 541.65 -172.46, 541.65 -172.46, 541.65 1031.6 -247.86, 30.813	a/ L -429.13 -900.90, -42.62 0.075 -201.77 - ia/ L -256.93 -1058.51, -71.35 0.025.4° -702.11 - ia/ L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - a/ L -233.80 -543.58, 75.98 0.139 -203.63 - a/ L -233.80 -543.58, 75.98 0.139 -203.63 - a/ L -238.66 -508.63, 90.91 0.172 -274.13 - a/ L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -126.39 - a/ L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -126.39 - a/ L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -128.06 - b/ L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - a/ L -216.89 -475.03, 331.46 0.973 -55.018 - a/ L -211.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - b/ L -211.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - a/ L -114.132 -228.533, -0.651 -226 - a/ L -114.132 -228.533, -0.651 -2266 - a/ L -114.132 -228.533, -0.43.93 0.042.4° -1564.76 - anterior cingulate cortex, <i>β</i> beta, <i>CB</i> cannabis users, <i>CI</i> confidence elation (ICC).	028, ce	0058, ce	al L –429.13 . R –564.93 .		0.317	-313.38	-756.88, 130.13	0.166	-109.25	-341.65, 218.83	0.587	-64.29	-343.62, 215.03	0.652	318.70	-93.88, 731.29	0.130	0.07
a/l L -42913 -90090, -4262 0075 -2017 -72512, 32157 0450 27312 3217 -16172, 50960 0310 46221 -2458, 9401 R -56439 -103851, -7135 0025" -70211 -124980 -15341 0012" -13717 -65223, 35087 0582 -3217 -35164, 3511 0985 57533 6587, 108478 in/ L -20133 -54949, 14684 0257 -18206 -56843, 20431 0315 -2349, 33344 0913 2327 -22109, 46623 -51319, 4668 a/l L -20133 -54949, 14684 0357 -18240 0357 1846 -17246, 54165 a/l L -213380 -54348, 30619 0167 3016 -24786, 30819 0832 484 -2117, 31902 0557 18466 -17246, 54165 a/l L -20133 -32017, 21615 0774 4772 -153203, 24255 0643 -17246, 54165 a/l L -20133 -54519, 2066 </td <td>a/ L -429.13 $-900.90, -42.62$ 0.075 -201.77 - ia/ R -564.93 $-1058.51, -71.35$ 0.025* -702.11 - ia/ L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -182.06 - a/ L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -182.06 - a/ L -233.80 $-543.58, 75.98$ 0.139 -203.63 - a/ L -233.80 $-543.58, 75.98$ 0.139 -203.63 - a/ L -208.86 $-508.63, 90.91$ 0.172 -274.13 - a/ L -208.86 $-508.63, 90.91$ 0.172 -274.13 - a/ L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -126.39 - a/ L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -128.06 - b/a/ L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -182.06 - b/a/ L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -182.06 - b/a/ L -216.89 $-3934.90, 2458.37$ 0.651 -224 - b/a/ R -1216.89 $-4765.03, 2331.20$ 0.051 -119.07 - R -1269.72 $-2495.49, -43.93$ 0.042* -169.76 - anterior cingulate cortex; <i>β</i> beta, <i>CB</i> cannabis users, <i>CI</i> confidence blation (ICC).</td> <td>028 ce</td> <td>0058, ce</td> <td>L429.13 R564.93</td> <td></td>	a/ L -429.13 $-900.90, -42.62$ 0.075 -201.77 - ia/ R -564.93 $-1058.51, -71.35$ 0.025* -702.11 - ia/ L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -182.06 - a/ L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -182.06 - a/ L -233.80 $-543.58, 75.98$ 0.139 -203.63 - a/ L -233.80 $-543.58, 75.98$ 0.139 -203.63 - a/ L -208.86 $-508.63, 90.91$ 0.172 -274.13 - a/ L -208.86 $-508.63, 90.91$ 0.172 -274.13 - a/ L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -126.39 - a/ L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -128.06 - b/a/ L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -182.06 - b/a/ L -201.33 $-549.49, 146.84$ 0.257 -182.06 - b/a/ L -216.89 $-3934.90, 2458.37$ 0.651 -224 - b/a/ R -1216.89 $-4765.03, 2331.20$ 0.051 -119.07 - R -1269.72 $-2495.49, -43.93$ 0.042* -169.76 - anterior cingulate cortex; <i>β</i> beta, <i>CB</i> cannabis users, <i>CI</i> confidence blation (ICC).	028 ce	0058, ce	L429.13 R564.93															
R -564.93 -103851, -71.35 0.025** -702.11 -124980, -15341 0.012** -137.17 -62.22, 35087 0.582 -351.64, 345.11 0.985 575.33 6587, 108478 id/ L -201.33 -569.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -568.43, 204.31 0.356 192.6 -324.91, 363.44 0.913 227 -22103, 268.57 0.853 177.42 -231.99, 468.62 a// L -201.33 -561.45, 13051 0.222 -278.42 -652.95 -105.44 0.15 -247.86, 306.30 0.174 -272.46, 541.65 a// L -201.33 -561.45, 13051 0.222 -278.42 -652.95 -105.44 0.15 -247.86, 306.30 0.965 -782.3 668.71, 205.34 0.314 -772.46, 541.65 a// L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.272 -126.39 0.386.12 0.571 -171.76, 319.02 0.557 184.66 -724.05 0.463.24.195 0.414.73 0.164 -724.65 416.68 -172.46 541.66	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	028 ce	00028 ce	-564.93		0.075	-201.77	-725.12, 321.57	0.450	227.36	-725.12, 321.57	0.340	173.94	-161.72, 509.60	0.310	462.21	-24.58, 949.01	0.063	0.32
(a) L -20133 -54949, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -568.43, 20431 0356 192491, 363.44 0913 232.77 -222.03, 268.57 0.853 127.42 -231.99, 466.82 al -215.47 -561.45, 13051 0.222 -278.42 -662.29, -105.44 0.155 -62.95 -40518, 279.28 0.496 76.62 -171.78, 319.02 0.557 184.60 -172.46, 541.65 al L -233.80 -543.58, 75.98 0.139 -203.63 -492.21, 84.95 0.167 30.16 -247.86, 308.19 0.832 48 -218.22, 227.89 0.463.14 712.46 -403.14, 246.68 al L -233.80 -543.56 0.139 -203.63 0.056 -65.27 -336.19, 205.65 0.637 -265.70 -469.06, -62.357 0.010' 224.09 -933.25.33 al L -208.85 -506.83 0.056 -65.27 -336.19, 205.65 0.637 -265.70 -69.97, 414.73 0.163 -172.46, 541.65 al L -201.33 </td <td>ici/ L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - ad/ R -215.47 -561.45, 130.51 0.222 -278.42 - ad/ L -233.80 -543.58, 75.98 0.139 -2036.3 - ad/ L -233.80 -543.56, 75.98 0.139 -2036.3 - ad/ L -208.66 -508.63, 90.91 0.172 -274.13 - ad/ L -208.66 -508.63, 90.91 0.172 -274.13 - ad/ L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -126.39 - adiu L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - ablum R -215.47 -561.45, 130.51 0.222 -2784.2 - cellum L -126.99 -4765.03 2331.20 0.251 -226.4 - cellum L -114.132 -2285.33, -2.70 0.051 -1193.07 - anterior L -114.132 -2285.33, -2.70 0.0651 -11</td> <td>ce ce 25,</td> <td>Ce ce ce</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.025*^a</td> <td>-702.11</td> <td>-1249.80, -153.41</td> <td>0.012*^b</td> <td>-137.17</td> <td>-625.22, 350.87</td> <td>0.582</td> <td>-3.27</td> <td>-351.64, 345.11</td> <td>0.985</td> <td>575.33</td> <td>65.87, 1084.78</td> <td>0.027*^c</td> <td>0.15</td>	ici/ L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - ad/ R -215.47 -561.45, 130.51 0.222 -278.42 - ad/ L -233.80 -543.58, 75.98 0.139 -2036.3 - ad/ L -233.80 -543.56, 75.98 0.139 -2036.3 - ad/ L -208.66 -508.63, 90.91 0.172 -274.13 - ad/ L -208.66 -508.63, 90.91 0.172 -274.13 - ad/ L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -126.39 - adiu L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 - ablum R -215.47 -561.45, 130.51 0.222 -2784.2 - cellum L -126.99 -4765.03 2331.20 0.251 -226.4 - cellum L -114.132 -2285.33, -2.70 0.051 -1193.07 - anterior L -114.132 -2285.33, -2.70 0.0651 -11	ce ce 25,	Ce			0.025* ^a	-702.11	-1249.80, -153.41	0.012* ^b	-137.17	-625.22, 350.87	0.582	-3.27	-351.64, 345.11	0.985	575.33	65.87, 1084.78	0.027* ^c	0.15
R -21547 -56145, 13051 0.222 -27842 -66229, -105.44 0.155 -6295 -405.18, 27928 0.496 76.62 -171.78, 31902 0.557 184.60 -172.46, 541.65 al L -23380 -543.58, 75.98 0.139 -20363 -49221, 8495 0.167 30.16 -247.86, 30.819 0.832 4.84 -218.22, 227.89 0.966 -78.23 -403.14, 246.8 di L -23380 -543.86, 30.91 0.172 -27413 -55509, 683 0.056 -65.27 -336.19, 205.65 0.637 -266.70 -43.38 -3392.92, 532.53 di L -20386 -508.63, 90.91 0.172 -274.13 -55509, 683 0.056 -65.27 -336.19, 205.65 0.637 -263.27 0.00* 224.09 -933.29, 232.53 di L -20133 -55494 0.155 -105.41 0.13 2327 246.06 -78.23 -43.38 -332.92, 232.63 563.19, 205.65 0.637 -215.30, 249.25 0.01** 2140.9	ad L -215,47 -561,45, 130,51 0.222 -278,42 - ad L -233,80 -543,58, 75,98 0.139 -203,63 - da L -208,86 -508,63,90,91 0.172 -274,13 - 10, L -208,86 -508,63,90,91 0.172 -274,13 - 10, L -201,33 -549,49, 146,84 0.257 -182,06 - 10, L -211,33 -549,49, 146,84 0.257 -182,06 - 20,11,2 -218,53 -593,490, 2458,37 0.651 -2266 - 20,11,1,1,2 -228,53,3, -2,70 0.501 -216,34 - R -1216,89 -4765,03, 233,120 0.501 -211,30,7 - R -1216,92 -2495,49, -43,93 0.042, ⁴⁶ -116,476 - R -1269,72 -2495,49, -43,93 0.042, ⁴⁶ -1564,76 - R -1200, +41,93 -0001, ****P(unc) <0.001.	25, ce	0058 ce	L –201.33 -		0.257	-182.06	-568.43, 204.31	0.356	19.26	-324.91, 363.44	0.913	23.27	-222.03, 268.57	0.853	127.42	-231.99, 486.82	0.487	0.13
a/l L -233.80 -543.58, 75.98 0139 -203.63 -492.21, 84.95 0.167 30.16 -247.86, 308.19 0832 4.84 -218.22, 227.89 0.966 -78.23 -403.14, 246.68 1 L -203.86 -586.63 90.91 0.172 -224.13 -555.09, 683 0.346 -37.01 -290.17, 216.15 0.774 4772 -163.80 249.25 0.433 -333.29 255.138 -339.29 257.13 -335.09, 683 0.370 -465.06 -62.357 0.405 -65.37 -336.19, 205.65 0.637 -265.70 -469.06 -62.357 0.107* 224.09 -930.25 541.19 1 L -208.65 0.577 -336.19, 205.65 0.637 -265.70 -469.06, -62.357 0.107* 224.09 -930.25 541.19 161 L -201.33 -549.49 1072 -274.43 0.155 0.74 4772 -182.06 -513.49 493.65 541.19 568.57 0.853 172.42 -513.49 495.65 541.65 541.65 541.65 541.65 541.65 541.65	a/ L -233.80 -543.58, 75.98 0.139 -203.63 - a/ L -233.80 -543.58, 75.98 0.139 -203.63 - a/ L -2.08.86 -508.63, 90.91 0.172 -274.13 - a/ L -2.01.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.073 -55.018 - a/ L -2.01.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.057 -182.06 - a/ - 2.133 -549.49, 146.84 0.057 -182.06 - a/ - 121.649 -4765.03, 233.120 0.051 -2.266 - R -121.649 -4765.03, 233.120 0.051 -2.164.76 - R -121.649 -4765.03, 233.120 0.051 -1193.07 - R -121.649 -4765.03, 233.120 0.051 -1193.07 - R -121.649 -4765.03, 233.120 0.051 -1193.07 - R -121.647.2 -2495.49, -43.93 0.042.4° -1564.76 - R -1269.22 -2495.49, -43.93 0.042.4° -1564.76 - R -1269.72 -2495.49, -44.93 0.001.4° -1564.76 - R -0.001.4° -10001.4° -1164.76 -20.001.4° -10001.4° -10001.4° -1164.76 -10001.4° -10001.	25, ce	0055, ce	-215.47		0.222	-278.42	-662.29, -105.44	0.155	-62.95	-405.18, 279.28	0.496	76.62	-171.78, 319.02	0.557	184.60	—172.46, 541.65	0.311	0.21
L -23380 -54358 75.98 0.139 -203.63 -492.21 84.95 0.167 30.16 -247.86 308.19 0.832 484 -218.22 227.89 0.966 -78.23 -403.14 246.66 R -893.9 -371.12 126.39 -389.15, 136.36 0.346 -37.01 -290.17, 216.15 0.774 47.72 -1153.80, 249.25 0.643 -433.38 -3392.9, 255.25 R -577 -433.00, 331.46 0.973 -555.09 633.7 -555.70 -66.98.7 0.163 -135.92 -531.99 -5302.54.1.19 R -5.77 -433.00, 331.46 0.973 -555.70 -469.06, -62.357 0.103 -24.4.73 0.163 -138.02, 255.13 -3302.9, 255.13 -3302.9, 255.33 -332.9, 255.33 -332.9, 255.34 -743.53 -517.40 195.23 L -201.33 -549.49 0.556 0.537 -26.357 0.010* 224.09 -930.25, 251.24 956.34.19 956.23 958.24.19 956.23 958.24.19		ce ce 25,	0028, ce																
R =89:3 -37:123, 192.45 0.547 -126.39 -389:15, 136.36 0.346 -37.01 -290.17, 216.15 0.774 47.72 -153.80, 249.25 0.643 -43.38 -3332.29, 252.53 L -208.86 -508.63, 90.91 0.172 -274.13 -555.09, 6.83 0.056 -65.27 -336.19, 205.65 0.637 -265.70 -69.87, 414.73 0.163 -158.59 -512.40 -93.02, 541.19 R -5.77 -333.06 0.973 -56.37 0.69.87, 414.73 0.163 -158.59 -512.40 93.02, 541.19 R -5.77 -334.00 0.376 172.43 -66.37 0.633 -126.57 -33.90, 9.53 0.163 -158.59 -512.40 93.02, 541.19 R -215.44 0.135 -49.51 0.25 -136.44 0.13 23.27 -222.03 266.77 -33.199.466.82 -517.46 -172.46 541.65 R -215.47 -561.44 0.155 -62.95 -405.18, 279.28 0.496 73.62 -171.78,		ce ce 25,	ce ce ce	L -233.80		0.139	-203.63	-492.21, 84.95	0.167	30.16	-247.86, 308.19	0.832	4.84	—218.22, 227.89	0.966	- 78.23	-403.14, 246.68	0.637	0.25
L -208.86 -508.63, 90.91 0.172 -274.13 -555.09, 6.83 0.056 -65.27 -336.19, 205.65 0.637 -265.70 -469.06, -62.357 0.010* 224.09 -93.02, 541.19 R -5.77 -343.00, 331.46 0.973 -555.08 -365.24, 259.21 0.731 -49.25 -351.98, 253.49 0.750 172.43 -66.877 0.163 -158.59 -512.40, 195.23 L -201.33 -549.49, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -564.43, 204.31 0.356 19.26 -324.91, 363.44 0.913 23.277 -222.03, 268.57 0.853 127.42 -231.99, 486.82 R -215.47 -561.45, 130.51 0.222 -278.42 -662.29, -105.44 0.155 -62.95 -405.18, 279.28 0.496 736.2 -171.78, 319.02 0.577 184.60 -172.46, 541.65 L -738.06 0.999 740.32 -2233.54, 499.010 0.496 736.2 -171.78, 319.02 0.576 -162.132, 497.463 541.65 -165.138, 567.061 -172.46, 541.65 -165.138, 567.061 -172.46, 541.65 -165.132, 497.463 1676.65 -165.132, 497.463		ce ce 25,	0058, ce	-89.39		0.547	-126.39	-389.15, 136.36	0.346	-37.01	-290.17, 216.15	0.774	47.72	-153.80, 249.25	0.643	-43.38	-339.29, 252.53	0.774	0.10
R -577 -34300, 33146 0.973 -55018 -36924, 25921 0.731 -49.25 -351.98, 253.49 0.750 172.43 -6987, 414.73 0.163 -158.59 -512.40, 195.23 L -201.33 -54949, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -56843, 204.31 0.356 19.26 -32491, 363.44 0.913 23.27 -222.03, 268.57 0.853 127.42 -231.99, 486.82 R -215.47 -561.45, 13051 0.222 -2784.2 -662.29, -105.44 0.155 -62.95 -405.18, 279.28 0.496 73.62 -171.78, 319.02 0.557 184.60 -172.46, 541.65 L -738.06 -3934.90 0.557 164.6 73.62 -171.78, 319.02 0.557 184.60 -172.46, 541.65 L -738.06 -3934.30 547.94 0.155 -62.95 -405.18, 279.28 0.496 73.62 -171.78, 319.02 0.557 184.60 -172.46, 541.65 L -738.06 0.999 740.32 -2423.29, 303.393 0.646 3461.28		ce ce 028	ce ce 25, ce	L -208.86		0.172	-274.13	-555.09, 6.83	0.056	-65.27	-336.19, 205.65	0.637	-265.70	-469.06, -62.357	0.010*	224.09	-93.02, 541.19	0.166	0.00
L -20133 -54949, 146.84 0.257 -182.06 -568.43, 204.31 0.356 19.26 -324.91, 363.44 0.913 23.27 -222.03, 268.57 0.853 127.42 -231.99, 486.82 R -215.47 -561.45, 13051 0.222 -278.42 -662.29, -105.44 0.155 -62.95 -405.18, 279.28 0.496 73.62 -171.78, 319.02 0.557 184.60 -172.46, 541.65 L -738.06 -3934.90 0.551 -226 -353.44, 357.96 0.999 740.32 -2432.29, 3303.33 0.646 3461.28 11892.6, 5733.30 0.003** 1676.65 -1621.32, 4974.63 R -1216.89 -4756.03, 2331.20 0.501 -221.34 -367.448, 4197.17 0.896 1478.23 -20335.4, 499.010 0.409 3110.34 584.19, 5636.49 0.165.057 -1651.08, 567.061 L -1113.22 -2285.33, -2.70 0.051 -1193.07 -2462.19, 76.06 0.055 -51.74 -1183.74, 1080.25 0.292 -377.17 -1188.80 434.77 0.367.86 377.38, 2688.33 R -176.77 -156.77 -1183.74, 1080.25			ce	-5.77		0.973	-55.018	-369.24, 259.21	0.731	-49.25	-351.98, 253.49	0.750	172.43	-69.87, 414.73	0.163	-158.59	-512.40, 195.23	0.380	0.18
R -215.47 -561.45, 13051 0.222 -278.42 -662.29, -105.44 0.155 -62.95 -405.18, 279.28 0.496 73.62 -171.78, 319.02 0.557 184.60 -172.46, 541.65 L -738.06 -3934.90, 2458.37 0.651 -226 -353.44, 357.96 0.999 740.32 -2433.29, 3903.93 0.646 3461.28 11892.6, 5733.30 0.003** 1676.65 -1621.32, 4974.63 R -1216.89 -4756.03, 2331.20 0.501 -2261.34 -3674.48, 4197.17 0.896 1478.23 -20335.4, 4990.01 0.409 3110.34 584.19, 5636.49 0.1016* 200977 -1651.08, 5670.61 L -1141.32 -2285.33, -2.70 0.051 -1193.07 -2462.19, 76.06 0.055 -51.74 -1183.74, 1080.25 0.929 -377.17 -1188.80 434.47 0.362 327.38, 2688.33 R -17.6477 -304.67 0.024 -51.74 -1183.74, 1080.25 0.539 -156.73 2567.86 327.38, 2688.33 R -17.6477 -343.767 0.0245 -51.74 -1183.74, 1080.25 0.539 -37.717 -1188.80			ce	L –201.33		0.257	-182.06	-568.43, 204.31	0.356	19.26	-324.91, 363.44	0.913	23.27	-222.03, 268.57	0.853	127.42	-231.99, 486.82	0.487	0.13
L -738.06 -3934.90, 2458.37 0.651 -2.26 -3534.43, 3547.96 0.999 740.32 -2423.29, 3903.93 0.646 3461.28 1189.26, 5733.30 0.003** 1676.65 -1621.32, 4974.63 R -1216.89 -4765.03, 2331.20 0.501 -2.61.34 -3674.48, 4197.17 0.896 1478.23 -2.033.54, 4990.01 0.409 3110.34 584.19, 5636.49 0.016* 2009.77 -1651.08, 5670.61 L -1141.32 -2285.33, -2.70 0.051 -1193.07 -2462.19, 76.06 0.065 -51.74 -1183.74, 1080.25 0.929 -377.17 -1188.80 434.47 0.362 1507.86 327.38, 2688.33 R -176.07 -2465.40 -43.09 0.047** -15.44.76 -0.904.55 -0.740.77 0.024** -0.56.06 -15.80.04 0.0176 0.175 0.175.00 -1007.07 33.34 0.758 17.36, 54.34 57.17 4.36.114		ce	ce	-215.47		0.222	-278.42	-662.29, -105.44	0.155	-62.95	-405.18, 279.28	0.496	73.62	-171.78, 319.02	0.557	184.60	-172.46, 541.65	0.311	0.21
L -738.06 -3934.90, 2458.37 0.651 -2.26 -3534.43, 3547.96 0.999 740.32 -2423.29, 3903.93 0.646 3461.28 1189.26, 5733.30 0.003** 1676.65 -1621.32, 4974.63 R -1216.89 -4765.03, 2331.20 0.501 -2.61.34 -3674.48, 4197.17 0.896 1478.23 -2.0335.4, 4990.01 0.409 3110.34 584.19, 5636.49 0.016* 2009.77 -1651.08, 5670.61 I L -1141.32 -2285.33, -2.70 0.051 -1193.07 -2462.19, 76.06 0.065 -51.74 -1183.74, 1080.25 0.929 -377.17 -1188.80 434.47 0.362 1507.86 327.38, 2688.33 R -1766.77 -2465.40 -4393 0.042** -1564.75 -704.67 0.005.45 -51.64 0.076.57 63.4 -136.09 -377.17 -1188.80 434.47 0.362 1507.86 327.38, 2688.33 R -1766.77 -2465.40 -4393 0.042** -1564.75 -704.67 0.002*** -7056.16 1.568.04 0.076 0.733.44 0.758 1726.54 5.317.4 3761.14		ce	ce ce	rebellum															
R -1216.89 -4765.03, 2331.20 0.501 -261.34 -3674.8, 4197.17 0.896 1478.23 -2033.54, 4990.01 0.409 3110.34 583.6.49 0.016* 2009.77 -1651.08, 5670.61 L -1141.32 -2285.33, -2.70 0.051 -1193.07 -2462.19, 76.06 0.065 -51.74 -1183.74, 1080.25 0.929 -377.17 -1188.80 434.47 0.362 1507.86 327.38, 2688.33 R -17.60.77 -2465.49 -43.93 0.427* -1564.76 -204.55, -2744.07 0.074** -2956.05 -1568.04 917.05 0.634 -136.00 -107707 73.344 0.758 1736.54 53.174 3451.34			ce	L -738.06		0.651		-3534.43, 3547.96	666.0	740.32	-2423.29, 3903.93	0.646	3461.28	1189.26, 5733.30	0.003**	1676.65	-1621.32, 4974.63	0.319	0.47
L — 1141.32 — 2285.33, —2.70 0.051 — 1193.07 — 2462.19, 76.06 0.065 — 51.74 — 1183.74, 1080.25 0.929 — 377.17 — 1188.00 434.47 0.362 15.07.86 327.38, 2688.33 R — 1769.77 — 2465.49 — 43.93 0.042** — 1564.76 — 2924.55, —294.07 0.074** — 295.05 — 1568.04 917.05 0.634 — 136.09 — 1007.07 73.344 0.758 1.788.54 5.31.74 3451.34				-1216.89		0.501	-261.34	-3674.48, 4197.17	0.896	1478.23	-2033.54, 4990.01	0.409	3110.34	584.19, 5636.49	0.016*	2009.77	-1651.08, 5670.61	0.282	0.48
-176972 -249549 -4393 0/47% -156476 -292455 -27497 0/074* ⁶ -265.05 -156804 91795 0.634 -136.09 -100792 733.94 0.758 1758 521 74 3051 34				L —1141.32		0.051		-2462.19, 76.06	0.065	-51.74	-1183.74, 1080.25	0.929	-377.17	-1188.80 434.47	0.362	1507.86	327.38, 2688.33	0.012* ^d	0.32
		ce 028	ce 25, 000	-1269.72		0.042* ^e		-2924.55, -204.97	0.024* ^f	-295.05	-1508.04, 917.95	0.634	-136.99	-1007.92, 733.94	0.758	1786.54	521.74, 3051.34	0.006**9	0.36
		25, 028	25, 0.0	olunc) <0.05, **p(unc) <0.01, ***p(d= -0.10.	(<i>unc</i>) <0.001.														
25,		= -009-	=-0.09; smale denordent CR $ imes$ famale recreational CR ($R1269.7$) $n=0.042$ $d=-0.36$) famale HC ($R=-0.34$) $d=-0.34$) male denordent CR ($R=-1620.06$ $n=0.004$ $d=-0.32$) male recreation	emale dependent CB < male dep = -12.	pendent CB ($\beta =$	- 1136.	554, $p = .02$		male rec	reational (CB ($\beta = -1652.85$, ,	р = .002,	d = −0.4	45);					
25, 028	028, $d=-0.26$) and male recreational CB ($eta=-1652.85,\ p=.002,\ d=$		(2) (2)	= -0.09;															

Rossetti et al. Translational Psychiatry (2021)11:272



monthly cigarette use. Thus, female sex may represent a vulnerability factor to develop volumetric alterations in this group.

The mechanisms behind smaller volumes of the cerebellum and OFC in female dependent cannabis users remain to be clarified. Pre-existing neurostructural sex differences in these regions have been shown in normative samples (e.g., smaller volume in males versus females 47,48) and might represent a neurobiological vulnerability predating cannabis use^{49,50}. Yet, sex differences have been reported within the endocannabinoid system^{5,51}. For example, chronic cannabinoid exposure in rats leads to a more marked downregulation and desensitization of CB1Rs within the cerebellum and the OFC^{51,52}. Notably, CB1Rs receptors are widely expressed on both neurons and glial cells (e.g., oligodendrocytes and oligodendroglial cells)^{53,54}. Thus, the downregulation of cannabinoid receptors with long-term cannabis exposure might also suppress glial cells function^{54,55} and thereby alter white matter structures²⁶. Interestingly, emerging evidence shows that microglial activation underlies cerebellar deficits produced by repeated cannabis exposure⁵⁶. Sex differences in the endocannabinoid system have been ascribed to females experiencing stronger cannabis craving, withdrawal symptoms^{2,57}, and psychoactive effects of the cannabinoid THC that confers addiction liability^{17,58}, and may contribute to a faster escalation from regular use to dependence noted in female cannabis users^{2–4}. Interestingly, preliminary evidence in a separate study of young adults showed that smaller OFC volume predicted cannabis use, suggesting that structural abnormalities in the OFC might contribute to risk for cannabis exposure⁵⁹. Moreover, a significant association emerged between cerebellar white matter integrity and self-reported craving in people at risk of cannabis use disorders ⁶⁰.

Longitudinal neuroimaging studies are required to extend these findings while accounting for sex differences predating/following cannabis dependence and in the transition from recreational to dependent cannabis use. Even so, the cross-sectional nature of this study did not allow us to determine causality versus pre-existing sexrelated brain differences that may predict future dependent versus recreational cannabis use. Further longitudinal studies are needed to disentangle this issue.

Associations between ROI volumes and alcohol standard drinks in recreational and dependent cannabis users

Of note, in dependent cannabis users, smaller OFC volumes were also associated with monthly standard drinks which is in line with evidence from structural MRI studies in alcohol users^{12,61,62}. As such, one could speculate that alcohol use may have driven OFC reductions in dependent cannabis users compared to recreational users and controls. However, all between-group analyses accounted for

			Dependen	t CB (<i>n</i> = 59)		Recreatior	nal CB (<i>n</i> = 49)	
			β	(95% CI)	p	β	(95% CI)	p
Brain volu	umes (r	nm³)						
OFC								
lateral	R	Sex ^a	505.68	53.45, 957.91	0.028*	-197.09	-770.26, 376.07	0.500
		Cannabis use onset, yrs	73.57	-28.24, 175.37	0.157	4.65	108.99, 118.29	0.936
		Cannabis dosage, cones/mo	-0.76	-32.92, 31.40	0.963	12.45	-24.65, 49.56	0.511
		Cigarettes/mo	9.02	-13.28, 31.33	0.428	6.21	-25.84, 38.27	0.704
		Standard drinks/mo	-111.54	-185.14, -37.94	0.003**	-42.03	-122.10, 38.04	0.304
Cerebellur	n							
WM	L	Sex ^a	1128.06	154.65, 2101.48	0.023*	578.83	-906.20, 2063.86	0.445
		Cannabis use onset, years	-20.14	-230.05, 189.77	0.851	88.65	-204.05, 381.36	0.553
		Cannabis dosage, cones/mo	-16.06	-85.10, 52.99	0.649	-8.73	-102.90, 85.44	0.856
		Cigarettes/mo	22.23	-23.79, 68.25	0.344	5.56	-76.04, 87.16	0.894
		Standard drinks/mo	-14.08	-138.04, 166.21	0.856	-118.14	-321.66, 85.37	0.255
	R	Sex ^a	1352.56	303.86, 2401.25	0.011*	1396.28	-303.28, 3095.85	0.107
		Cannabis use onset, years	9.39	-215.40, 234.19	0.935	61.40	-274.05, 396.84	0.720
		Cannabis dosage, cones/mo	9.90	-64.49, 84.28	0.794	36.48	-71.84, 144.81	0.509
		Cigarettes/mo	4.83	-44.46, 54.11	0.848	3.12	-90.66, 96.89	0.948
		Standard drinks/mo	40.24	-122.73, 203.20	0.628	-254.22	-488.24, -20.20	0.033*

Table 3	Associations between regional brain	volumes and substance use	e levels separately in cannabis users with and	
without	dependence (three-site subsample).			

Note: only ROIs that demonstrated significant group-by-sex effects were included in the analysis.

CB cannabis users, CI confidence interval, L left, OFC orbitofrontal cortex, R right, WM white matter.

^aMale =1; Female = 0.

 $p(unc) < 0.05, \ p(unc) < 0.01, \ p(FDR) < 0.05.$

monthly standard drinks and other important covariates (i.e., ICV, IQ, age, IQ, monthly cigarettes). Yet, cannabis users (with and without dependence) and controls were matched by the number of monthly standard drinks (Table 1 and Table S3). Alternatively, it may be possible that cannabis dependent users are more vulnerable to alcohol exposure than non-dependent users. Future studies comparing recreational and dependent users with and without alcohol co-use may help disentangle this issue.

Similarly, we found a marginally significant association between monthly standard drinks and smaller cerebellar white matter volumes in recreational cannabis users. This is in line with previous evidence from structural MRI studies showing cerebellar white matter changes in alcohol users ⁴³ and underlines the need to systematically account for entrenched alcohol exposure in cannabis using samples.

Negative findings

We did not find volumetric alterations within distinct ROIs in cannabis users compared to controls, specifically in the amygdala, hippocampus, insula, NAcc and ACC. This is partially in line with prior work that found both presence^{19,20,24,25,32} and absence^{20,63} of alterations of these ROIs in cannabis users compared to controls. Our work extends previous negative findings in recreational and dependent cannabis users within both sexes, after controlling for several key confounders (ICV, age, IQ, alcohol and tobacco use). The inconsistently reported volumetric differences in (recreational and dependent) cannabis users suggest that neuroanatomical alterations of ROIs that are implicated in neuroscientific theories of addiction^{13,30}, may not be a core feature of cannabis use neurobiology.

Moreover, in contrast with prior work^{9,24,64}, ROI volumes in our sample of cannabis users were not predicted by age at cannabis use onset or by cannabis dosage (i.e., monthly cannabis cones). One difference between our study and prior reports (in which specific variables significantly predict brain volumes) is that most prior studies, unlike this study, did not account for multiple relevant variables including sex, cannabis dependence, IQ and alcohol and tobacco use. Our findings emphasize a

Limitations

Our findings should be considered with caution. First, the size of the reported effects was small-to-medium and suggests that only a sub-set of cannabis users show smaller volumes (e.g., those with a longer history of cannabis use or greater severity of cannabis dependence). Replication studies in larger samples are required to identify the characteristics that confer vulnerability to develop brain alterations. Second, the inter-study variability in MRI (e.g., MR scanner magnetic field strength, manufacturer, acquisition parameters) and behavioural testing protocols may have confounded our study results. We mitigated this issue by using standardized highquality MR quality check protocols^{67,68} and a multi-level statistical approach that accounts for error due to systematic differences between distinct study samples. Similarly, our findings on group and group-by-sex differences may have been confounded by the fact that number of monthly cigarettes was greater in cannabis users compared to controls. However, we controlled for differences in monthly cigarettes in all analyses. As such, we are confident that we accounted for the impact of this variable in estimating the result. Yet, future studies in groups carefully matched on tobacco use are needed to unpack the concurrent impact of cannabis and tobacco use on the brain of cannabis using samples with entrenched tobacco use. Third, our aggregated sample included cohorts that were included in previous work, so our findings may mirror already published studies that compared (recreational and dependent) cannabis users to controls^{17,26,27}. However, we were the first to concurrently examine the role of cannabis dependence status and sex differences on specific ROIs chosen based on their relevance for theories of addiction and their consistent alterations in regular cannabis users; also, studies that were published using samples from our aggregated sample were not used to compare our findings to already published work.

Last, we could not account for additional variables that may affect neuroanatomy in male and female cannabis users, including sex hormones^{51,69}, cannabis use history and dependence severity²², craving⁷⁰ and withdrawal⁵⁷ motives to use cannabis (e.g., coping with stress, habits)^{71,72}; cannabinoid compounds such as THC and cannabidiol (CBD), which might exacerbate or mitigate brain alterations²³; stress level and psychiatric symptoms (e.g., anxiety, depression)^{73,74} and history of trauma^{75–77}. This data was not available from this aggregated dataset and may reflect the status of the research to date, whereby distinct studies use heterogeneous measures of drug use, cognitive and psychological function. This situation may warrant the development of an expert-driven consensus on a minimum set of measures to map the brain, mental health and cognitive correlates of cannabis use. Such a consensus would be instrumental to help integrate research study findings and to advance the current understanding of the pathophysiology of cannabis use in men and women.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we found that cannabis users compared to controls had smaller volumes in selected ROIs (i.e., cerebellar white matter and right lateral OFC). Smaller ROI volumes were predicted by female sex and presence of cannabis dependence. These results point to a role of cannabis dependence and female sex as drivers of subtle and regionally localized volumetric differences in cannabis users.

As cannabis becomes increasingly accessible to both men and women, more work is necessary to map the mechanisms underlying sex differences in trajectories in and out of cannabis dependence and related psychosocial problems. This, in turn, will help inform future research on sex-specific pharmacological and behavioural interventions for male and females regular and dependent cannabis users.

Acknowledgements

 $\mathsf{P.M.T.}$ was funded in part by NIH grants U54 EB020403, R01MH116147, and R56 AG058854.

Data collection: A.E.G. received funding from ZonMW grant 91676084 from NWO. A.E.G. and J.C. received funding for the Cannabis Prospective study from ZonMW grant 31180002 from NWO. N.S. received funding from the Clive and Vera Ramaciotti Foundation for Biomedical Research National and Health and Medical Research Council Project grant 459111 and was supported by Australian Research Council Future Fellowship FT110100752. M.Y. was supported by National Health and Medical Research Council Fellowship 1117188 and the David Winston Turner Endowment Fund. V.L. was supported by The Australian Catholic University through a competitive scheme.

Author details

¹Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, Section of Psychiatry, University of Verona, Verona, Italy. ²Department of Neurosciences and Mental Health, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy. ³Department of Psychiatry, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, USA. ⁴Centre for Longitudinal Studies and MRC Unit for Lifelong Health and Ageing, IOE and Population Health Sciences, UCL, London, UK. ⁵Department of Psychology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, USA. ⁶Department of Psychiatry, UMC Utrecht Brain Center, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ⁷BrainPark, Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences & Monash Biomedical Imaging Facility, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ⁸Department of Psychiatry, Université de Montreal, CHU Ste Justine Hospital, Montreal, QC, Canada. ⁹Department of Developmental Psychology, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. ¹⁰Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam Institute for Addiction Research, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands. ¹¹School of Psychological Sciences, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ¹²Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Hospital Clinic, IDIBAPS, CIBERSAM and Institute of Neuroscience, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ¹³School of Psychology and Illawarra Health and Medical Research Institute, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia

¹⁴Imaging Genetics Center, Mark and Mary Stevens Neuroimaging and Informatics Institute, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Marina del Rey, CA, USA. ¹⁵Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, University of Milan, Milan, Italy. ¹⁶Neuroscience of Addiction & Mental Health Program, Healthy Brain and Mind Research Centre, School of Behavioural & Health Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, Australian Catholic University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Data availability

The code of the statistical analysis and the datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Conflict of interest

P.M.T. received partial grant support from Biogen, Inc. (Boston, USA) for research unrelated to this manuscript. M.Y. has received funding from several law firms in relation to expert witness reports. The other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01382-y.

Received: 2 December 2020 Revised: 25 March 2021 Accepted: 9 April 2021 Published online: 06 May 2021

References

- 1. World Health Organization. *Global status report on alcohol and health, 2019.* World Health Organization 2019.
- Khan, S. S. et al. Gender differences in cannabis use disorders: results from the national epidemiologic survey of alcohol and related conditions. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* **130**, 101–108 (2013).
- Ehlers, C. L. et al. Cannabis dependence in the San Francisco Family Study: age of onset of use, DSM-IV symptoms, withdrawal, and heritability. *Addict. Behav.* 35, 102–110 (2010).
- Hernandez-Avila, C. A., Rounsaville, B. J. & Kranzler, H. R. Opioid-, cannabis-and alcohol-dependent women show more rapid progression to substance abuse treatment. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 74, 265–272 (2004).
- Antinori, S. & Fattore, L. in *Endocannabinoids and Lipid Mediators in Brain Functions* (eds Melis, M.) Ch. 2 (Springer, Cham., 2017).
- Crane, N. A., Schuster, R. M., Fusar-Poli, P. & Gonzalez, R. Effects of cannabis on neurocognitive functioning: recent advances, neurodevelopmental influences, and sex differences. *Neuropsychol. Rev.* 23, 117–137 (2013).
- Everitt, B. J. & Robbins, T. W. Neural systems of reinforcement for drug addiction: from actions to habits to compulsion. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8, 1481–1489 (2005).
- Glass, M., Faull, R. L. M. & Dragunow, M. Cannabinoid receptors in the human brain: a detailed anatomical and quantitative autoradiographic study in the fetal, neonatal and adult human brain. *Neuroscience* 77, 299 (1997).
- Lorenzetti, V., Chye, Y., Silva, P., Solowij, N. & Roberts, C. A. Does regular cannabis use affect neuroanatomy? An updated systematic review and metaanalysis of structural neuroimaging studies. *Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 269, 59–71 (2019).
- Blithikioti, C. et al. Cerebellar alterations in cannabis users: A systematic review. Addict. Biol. 24, 1121–1137 (2019).
- 11. Lorenzetti, V., Solowij, N. & Yücel, M. The role of cannabinoids in neuroanatomic alterations in cannabis users. *Biol. Psychiatry* **79**, e17–e31 (2016).
- Mackey, S. et al. Mega-analysis of gray matter volume in substance dependence: general and substance-specific regional effects. Am. J. Psychiatry 176, 119–128 (2019).
- Koob, G. F. & Volkow, N. D. Neurobiology of addiction: a neurocircuitry analysis. Lancet Psychiatry 3, 760–773 (2016).
- Ketcherside, A., Baine, J. & Filbey, F. Sex effects of marijuana on brain structure and function. *Curr. Curr. Addict. Rep.* 3, 323–331 (2016).

- McQueeny, T. et al. Gender effects on amygdala morphometry in adolescent marijuana users. *Behav. Brain Res.* 224, 128–134 (2011).
- Medina, K. L. et al. Prefrontal cortex morphometry in abstinent adolescent marijuana users: subtle gender effects. *Addict. Biol.* 14, 457–468 (2009).
- Chye, Y. et al. Orbitofrontal and caudate volumes in cannabis users: a multi-site mega-analysis comparing dependent versus non-dependent users. *Psychopharmacology* 234, 1985–1995 (2017).
- Price, J. S. et al. Effects of marijuana use on prefrontal and parietal volumes and cognition in emerging adults. *Psychopharmacology* 232, 2939–2950 (2015).
- Medina, K. L., Nagel, B. J. & Tapert, S. F. Abnormal cerebellar morphometry in abstinent adolescent marijuana users. *Psychiatry Res.* 182, 152–159 (2010).
- Gilman, J. M. et al. Cannabis use is quantitatively associated with nucleus accumbens and amygdala abnormalities in young adult recreational users. J. Neurosci. 34, 5529–5538 (2014).
- Lind, K. E. et al. Sex disparities in substance abuse research: evaluating 23 years of structural neuroimaging studies. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* **173**, 92–98 (2017).
- 22. Lorenzetti, V. et al. Neuroanatomical alterations in people with high and low cannabis dependence. *Aust. N. Z. J. Psychiatry* **54**, 68–75 (2020).
- Yücel, M. et al. Hippocampal harms, protection and recovery following regular cannabis use. *Transl. Psychiatry* 6, e710–e710 (2016).
- 24. Battistella, G. et al. Long-term effects of cannabis on brain structure. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **39**, 2041–2048 (2014).
- Hill, S. Y., Sharma, V. & Jones, B. L. Lifetime use of cannabis from longitudinal assessments, cannabinoid receptor (CNR1) variation, and reduced volume of the right anterior cingulate. *Psychiatry Res* 255, 24–34 (2016).
- Solowij, N. et al. Cerebellar white-matter changes in cannabis users with and without schizophrenia. *Psychol. Med.* 41, 2349–2359 (2011).
- Cousijn, J. et al. Grey matter alterations associated with cannabis use: Results of a VBM study in heavy cannabis users and healthy controls. *NeuroImage* 59, 3845–3851 (2012).
- Moreno-Alcázar, A. et al. Larger grey matter volume in the basal ganglia of heavy cannabis users detected by voxel-based morphometry and subcortical volumetric analysis. *Front. Psychiatry* 9, 175 (2018).
- Katona I. in *Behavioral neurobiology of the endocannabinoid system. current topics in behavioral neurosciences* Vol. 1 (eds Kendall, D. & Alexander, S.) Ch. 3 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009)
- Koob, G. F. & Volkow, N. D. Neurocircuitry of addiction. *Neuropsychopharma-cology* 35, 217 (2010).
- Miquel, M. et al. Have we been ignoring the elephant in the room? Seven arguments for considering the cerebellum as part of addiction circuitry. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 60, 1–11 (2016).
- Schacht, J. P., Hutchison, K. E. & Filbey, F. M. Associations between cannabinoid receptor-1 (CNR1) variation and hippocampus and amygdala volumes in heavy cannabis users. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 37, 2368–2376 (2012).
- Batalla, A. et al. Modulation of brain structure by catechol-O-methyltransferase Val(158) Met polymorphism in chronic cannabis users. *Addict. Biol.* 19, 722–732 (2014).
- Yücel, M. et al. Regional brain abnormalities associated with heavy long-term cannabis use. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 65, 694–701 (2008).
- Chye, Y. et al. Cannabis-related hippocampal volumetric abnormalities specific to subregions in dependent users. *Psychopharmacology* 234, 2149–2157 (2017).
- Lecrubier, Y. et al. The Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI). A short diagnostic structured interview: reliability and validity according to the CIDI. *Eur. Psychiatry* 12, 224–231 (1997).
- Gossop, M. et al. The Severity of Dependence Scale (SDS): psychometric properties of the SDS in English and Australian samples of heroin, cocaine and amphetamine users. *Addiction* **90**, 607–614 (1995).
- Dale, A. M., Fischl, B. & Sereno, M. I. Cortical surface-based analysis: I. Segmentation and surface reconstruction. *Neuroimage* 9, 179–194 (1999).
- Desikan, R. S. et al. An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage* 31, 968–980 (2006).
- Ségonne, F. et al. A hybrid approach to the skull stripping problem in MRI. Neuroimage 22, 1060–1075 (2004).
- Aarts, E., Verhage, M., Veenvliet, J. V., Dolan, C. V. & Van Der Sluis, S. A solution to dependency: using multilevel analysis to accommodate nested data. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17, 491–496 (2014).

- 42. Benjamini, Y. & Yekutieli, D. The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. *Annals Stat.* **29**, 1165-1188 (2001).
- Moulton, E. A., Elman, I., Becerra, L. R., Goldstein, R. Z. & Borsook, D. The cerebellum and addiction: insights gained from neuroimaging research. *Addict. Biol.* 19, 317–331 (2014).
- Goldstein, R. Z. & Volkow, N. D. Dysfunction of the prefrontal cortex in addiction: neuroimaging findings and clinical implications. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 12, 652–669 (2011).
- Koob, G. F. in *Handb. Clin. Neurol.* 3rd edn, Vol. 125 (eds Sullivan, E. V. & Pfefferbaum, A.) Ch.3 (Elsevier B. V., 2014).
- Hirvonen, J. et al. Reversible and regionally selective downregulation of brain cannabinoid CB 1 receptors in chronic daily cannabis smokers. *Mol. Psychiatry* 17, 642–649 (2012).
- Kanaan, R. A. et al. Gender differences in white matter microstructure. *PloS One* 7, e38272 (2012).
- Ritchie, S. J. et al. Sex differences in the adult human brain: evidence from 5216 UK biobank participants. *Cereb. Cortex* 28, 2959–2975 (2018).
- Ruigrok, A. N. V. et al. A meta-analysis of sex differences in human brain structure. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 39, 34–50 (2014).
- Jacobus, J. et al. White matter integrity, substance use, and risk taking in adolescence. *Psychol. Addict. Behav.* 27, 431 (2013).
- Farquhar, C. E. et al. Sex, THC, and hormones: Effects on density and sensitivity of CB1 cannabinoid receptors in rats. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 194, 20–27 (2019).
- Fattore, L. & Fratta, W. How important are sex differences in cannabinoid action? Br. J. Pharm. 160, 544–548 (2010).
- Romero, J. et al. Atypical location of cannabinoid receptors in white matter areas during rat brain development. Synapse 26, 317–323 (1997).
- Molina-Holgado, E. et al. Cannabinoids promote oligodendrocyte progenitor survival: involvement of cannabinoid receptors and phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase/Akt signaling. J. Neurosci. 22, 9742–9753 (2002).
- Monnet-Tschudi, F. et al. Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol accumulation, metabolism and cell-type-specific adverse effects in aggregating brain cell cultures. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 228, 8–16 (2008).
- Cutando, L. et al. Microglial activation underlies cerebellar deficits produced by repeated cannabis exposure. J. Clin. Invest. 123, 2816–2831 (2013).
- Herrmann, E. S., Weerts, E. M. & Vandrey, R. Sex differences in cannabis withdrawal symptoms among treatment-seeking cannabis users. *Exp. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 23, 415–421 (2015).
- Craft, R. M., Marusich, J. A. & Wiley, J. L. Sex differences in cannabinoid pharmacology: a reflection of differences in the endocannabinoid system? *Life Sci.* 92, 476–481 (2013).
- Cheetham, A. et al. Orbitofrontal volumes in early adolescence predict initiation of cannabis use: a 4-year longitudinal and prospective study. *Biol. Psychiatry* **71**, 684–692 (2012).
- Sweigert, J. et al. A multimodal investigation of cerebellar integrity associated with high-risk cannabis use. *Addict. Biol.* 25, e12839 (2019).
- Moorman, D. E. The role of the orbitofrontal cortex in alcohol use, abuse, and dependence. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 87, 85–107 (2018).

- Durazzo, T. C. & Meyerhoff, D. J. Changes of frontal cortical subregion volumes in alcohol dependent individuals during early abstinence: associations with treatment outcome. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 14, 1588-1599 (2020).
- Weiland, B. J. et al. Daily marijuana use is not associated with brain morphometric measures in adolescents or adults. J. Neurosci. 35, 1505–1512 (2015).
- Wetherill, R. R. et al. Cannabis, cigarettes, and their co-occurring use: disentangling differences in gray matter volume. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 18, 116-123 (2015).
- Jacobus, J. et al. Cortical thickness in adolescent marijuana and alcohol users: A three-year prospective study from adolescence to young adulthood. *Dev. Cogn. Neurosci.* 16, 101–109 (2015).
- Filbey, F. M., Gohel, S., Prashad, S. & Biswal, B. B. Differential associations of combined vs. isolated cannabis and nicotine on brain resting state networks. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 223, 3317–3326 (2018).
- Thompson, P. M. et al. The ENIGMA consortium: large-scale collaborative analyses of neuroimaging and genetic data. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 8, 153–182 (2014).
- van Erp, T. G. et al. Subcortical brain volume abnormalities in 2028 individuals with schizophrenia and 2540 healthy controls via the ENIGMA consortium. *Mol. Psychiatry* 21, 547–553 (2016).
- Marusich, J. A., Craft, R. M., Lefever, T. W. & Wiley, J. L. The impact of gonadal hormones on cannabinoid dependence. *Exp. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 23, 206 (2015).
- Wetherill, R. R., Jagannathan, K., Hager, N., Childress, A. R. & Franklin, T. R. Sex differences in associations between cannabis craving and neural responses to cannabis cues: Implications for treatment. *Exp. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 23, 238 (2015).
- Köpetz, C. E., Lejuez, C. W., Wiers, R. W. & Kruglanski, A. W. Motivation and Self-Regulation in Addiction: A Call for Convergence. *Perspect. Psychol. Sci.* 8, 3–24 (2013).
- Sjoerds, Z., Luigjes, J., van den Brink, W., Denys, D. & Yücel, M. The role of habits and motivation in human drug addiction: a reflection. *Front. Psychiatry* 5, 8–8 (2014).
- Foster, K. T., Li, N., McClure, E. A., Sonne, S. C. & Gray, K. M. Gender Differences in Internalizing Symptoms and Suicide Risk Among Men and Women Seeking Treatment for Cannabis Use Disorder from Late Adolescence to Middle Adulthood. J. Subst. Abus. Treat. 66, 16–22 (2016).
- Halladay, J. E., Boyle, M. H., Munn, C., Jack, S. M. & Georgiades, K. Sex differences in the association between cannabis use and suicidal ideation and attempts, depression, and psychological distress among Canadians. *Can. J. Psychiatry* 64, 345–350 (2018).
- Everaerd, D. et al. Childhood abuse and deprivation are associated with distinct sex-dependent differences in brain morphology. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**, 1716–1723 (2016).
- Chao, T., Radoncic, V., Hien, D., Bedi, G. & Haney, M. Stress responding in cannabis smokers as a function of trauma exposure, sex, and relapse in the human laboratory. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* **185**, 23–32 (2018).
- Elton, A. et al. Childhood maltreatment is associated with a sex-dependent functional reorganization of a brain inhibitory control network. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 35, 1654–1667 (2014).